

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

ROSS FRANCESKI,

Plaintiff(s),

09cv0174

ELECTRONICALLY FILED

v.

UNIVERSITY OF PITTSBURGH MEDICAL
CENTER,

Defendant(s).

Order of Court re: Supplemental Motion in Limine

And now, this 8th day of January, 2010, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED as follows:

(1) Defendant's Supplemental Motion in Limine Regarding Proposed Testimony from Edith Thompson (which the Court has termed Motion in Limine 7) (doc. no. 78) is DENIED.¹

SO ORDERED this 8th day of January, 2010.

s/Arthur J. Schwab

Arthur J. Schwab

United States District Judge

cc: All Registered ECF Counsel and Parties

¹Plaintiff has again failed to file any response the motion in limine, but the Court will still consider the motion in limine on its merits. (See doc. no. 70).